



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/825,757	04/16/2004	Jeffrey M. Linnen	GP146-04.UT	8545

21365 7590 01/19/2007
GEN PROBE INCORPORATED
10210 GENETIC CENTER DRIVE
Mail Stop #1 / Patent Dept.
SAN DIEGO, CA 92121

EXAMINER

SALMON, KATHERINE D

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1634

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS	01/19/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 01/19/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdept@gen-probe.com
kelleec@gen-probe.com

TH

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/825,757	Applicant(s) LINNEN ET AL.	
	Examiner Katherine Salmon	Art Unit 1634	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 October 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 116-175 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 131-144 and 153-174 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 116-130, 145-152 and 175 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 16 April 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/05, 5/05, 12/04</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I in the reply filed on 10/26/2006 is acknowledged.
2. Claims 1-115 have been cancelled. Claims 131-144 and 153-174 are withdrawn from consideration as being drawn to a nonelected invention.
3. An action on the merits for Claims 116-130, 145-152, and 175 is set forth below.

Priority

4. Applicant's claim for the benefit of a prior-filed application under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) or under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121, or 365(c) is acknowledged. Applicant has not complied with one or more conditions for receiving the benefit of an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) as follows:

The later-filed application must be an application for a patent for an invention which is also disclosed in the prior application (the parent or original nonprovisional application or provisional application). The disclosure of the invention in the parent application and in the later-filed application must be sufficient to comply with the requirements of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112. See *Transco Products, Inc. v. Performance Contracting, Inc.*, 38 F.3d 551, 32 USPQ2d 1077 (Fed. Cir. 1994).

The disclosure of the prior-filed applications, Application No. 60/469294, 60/465428, 60/464049, fail to provide adequate support or enablement in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112 for one or more claims of this

Art Unit: 1634

application. The applications fail to disclose SEQ ID No. 3, 24, or 25, therefore there does not appear to be support for the applicant's presently claimed invention in these provisional applications. As a result the earliest filing date of record is deemed to be 4/16/2004.

Claim Interpretation

5. Claims 116-125 are being interpreted as limitations to the length of SEQ ID No. 3 required wherein SEQ ID No. 3 is a detection probe for determining the presence of SARS-CoV and wherein the probe does not form a hybrid stable for detection with nucleic acid derived from HcoV-OC43 or HcoV-229E. Claim 126 defines the probe as a self-hybridizing probe. Claims 127-129 define the label on the probe. Claim 130 defines the hybridization conditions.

Claim 145 is being interpreted as a set of oligonucleotides of up to 100 nucleotides in length, which bind through a target sequence contained within SEQ ID No. 24 and 25. Claims 146-148 define the oligonucleotides as consisting of SEQ ID No. 24 or 25, or the complement, or DNA equivalents in combination with a 5' sequence which is recognized by an RNA polymerase. Claim 149-151 limits the size of the oligonucleotides to at least 18 nucleotides. Claim 152 defines the 5' sequence as a T7 promoter sequence.

Claim 175 is drawn to a kit comprising an oligonucleotide which binds to or extends through SEQ ID No. 24, an oligonucleotide which binds to or extends through SEQ ID No. 25, and a detection probe which contains SEQ ID No. 3.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

7. Claims 116-130, 145, and 175 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Genbank Accession Number NC_004718.1 (NCBI GenBank Accession Number April 14, 2003) in view of Peiris et al. (US Patent Application Publication 2005/0009009 A1 January 13, 2005).

GenBank Accession Number NC_004718 (April 14, 2003) discloses the complete genomic sequence of the SARS coronavirus. With regard to Claims 116-125, 145 and

Art Unit: 1634

175, NC_004718 discloses a sequence in which SEQ ID No. 3, 24, and 25 are contained within. SEQ ID No. 3 is identical to nucleotides 18162-18206. SEQ ID No. 24 is identical to nucleotides 18243-18273. SEQ ID No. 25 is identical to nucleotides 18162-18206. Therefore, NC_004718 discloses a sequence, which comprises the SEQ IDs in the claimed invention.

NC_004718, however, does not teach the specific fragments of SEQ ID Nos. 3, 24, 25 for detection of the SARS virus, labels, or a kit.

Peiris et al. teaches the use of oligonucleotides for a diagnostic assay for detecting SARS. Although Peiris et al. does not teach the specific nucleotides, which are claimed, Peiris et al. teaches a methodology to produce equivalent oligonucleotides to the instantly claimed oligonucleotides.

With regard to Claims 116-125, 145 and 175, Peiris et al. teaches a methodology to produce equivalent oligonucleotides as the instantly claimed oligonucleotides. Peiris et al. teaches primers for use in amplifying the mRNA or genomic RNA of the SARS virus is based on known synthesizing methods (p. 7 paragraph 58). Peiris et al. teaches the exact length of primer will depend on the temperature, buffer, and nucleotide composition (p. 7 paragraph 58). Peiris et al. teaches the primer must prime the synthesis of extension products in the presence of the inducing agent for amplification (p. 7 paragraph 58).

Peiris et al. teaches primers and probes for polynucleotides of the SARS virus can be developed using known methods (p. 7 paragraph 59). Peiris et al. teaches primers are preferred to be as close as possible to the probe without overlapping the

Art Unit: 1634

probe (p. 7 paragraph 59). Peiris et al. teaches the G-C content of the primers should be in the 20% to 80% range (p. 7 paragraph 59). Peiris et al. teaches it is preferred to avoid runs of an identical nucleotides especially guanine (p. 7 paragraph 59). Peiris et al. teaches the preferred melting temperature of each primer is 58 to 60 (p. 7 paragraph 59). Peiris et al. teaches the five nucleotides at the 3' end of each primer is preferred to not have more than two G or C bases (p. 7 paragraph 59). Peiris et al. teaches probes can be designed using software such as Primer Express (p. 7 paragraph 60). Peiris et al. teaches it is preferable to keep the G-C content in the 20%-80% range and to avoid runs of an identical nucleotide (p. 7 paragraph 60)/

Peiris et al. teaches the size of the primers used to amplify a portion of the mRNA is at least 10, 15, 20, 25, or 30 nucleotides in length (p. 7 paragraph 62).

Peiris et al. teaches that besides the SARS virus there are two known serogroups of human coronavirus (229E and OC43) (p. 27 paragraph 251). Peiris et al. teaches the primer sets used in the present assay do not have homology to either of the strains so therefore they do not cross-react with the strains (p. 27 paragraph 251). Further, Peiris et al. teaches the sequence analyses of the available sequences in regions of the OC43 polymerase gene indicate the SARS virus is genetically distinct from OC43 (p. 27 paragraph 251).

Peiris et al. teaches using nucleotides in a RT-PCR to detect SARS virus (Abstract). Peiris et al. teaches making primers and probes based on the genomic sequence of hSARS virus to use in TaqMan assays (Abstract). With regard to Claims 127-129, Peiris et al. teaches the probe is a Taqman probe, which consists of an

Art Unit: 1634

oligonucleotide with a 5'reporter (luminescent) dye and a 3' quencher dye (a pair of interacting labels consisting of a luminescent and a quencher in which the probe is detectably labeled) (p. 6 paragraph 54).

With regard to Claim 130, Peiris et al. teaches using hybridization conditions which are stringent conditions and include a temperature ranging from 50°C to 65°C (this range includes 60°C) (p. 3 paragraph 26).

With regard to Claim 175, Peiris et al. teaches oligonucleotide-based kits comprising a detectably labeled oligonucleotide, which hybridizes to the sequence of the SARS virus and a pair of primers to amplify the nucleic acid molecule (p. 8 paragraph 65).

Therefore, the ordinary artisan would have been motivated to select any number of oligonucleotides including SEQ ID No. 3, 24, and 25 for amplifying and detecting the SARS virus. The art of designing probes and primers at the time the invention was made was very well described in the art. The art uses alignment programs to align sequences of interest and then uses algorithms to select and test probes and primers for their desired function of either detecting or distinguishing particular organisms. Designing primers and probes, which are equivalents to those taught in the art, is routine experimentation. The prior art teaches the parameters and objectives involved in the selection of oligonucleotides that function as probes and primers, see Hogan et al. Moreover there are many Internet web sites that provide free downloadable software to aid in the selection of primers drawn from genetic data recorded in a spreadsheet. The prior art is replete with guidance and information necessary to permit the ordinary

Art Unit: 1634

artisan in the field of nucleic acid detection to design primers and probes. The claimed primers are prima facie obvious over the cited references in the absence of secondary considerations, given the extensive teachings in the art. It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made to use the SARS sequence as disclosed by NC_004718 to create new oligonucleotides to detect the SARS virus using the guidance of the design constraints as taught by Peiris et al. to obtain equivalent alternative oligonucleotides of the claimed invention. The ordinary artisan would be motivated to have designed and tested new oligonucleotides from fragments of NC_004718 to obtain additional oligonucleotides that function to detect the SARS virus and identify oligonucleotides with improved properties.

8. Claims 146-152 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Genbank Accession Number NC_004718.1 (NCBI GenBank Accession Number April 14, 2003) in view of Peiris et al. (US Patent Application Publication 2005/0009009 A1 January 13, 2005) as applied to Claims 116-130, 145-151, and 175 above and further in view of McDonough et al. (US Patent 5766849 June 16, 1998).

Neither GenBank Accession Number NC_004718.1 nor Peiris et al. teaches a RNA polymerase such as the T7 promoter region on an oligonucleotide.

With regard to Claims 146-152, McDonough et al. teaches oligonucleotides complementary to a target sequence wherein the 5' region complexes with a promoter for an RNA polymerase (Column 6 lines 60-66). McDonough et al. teaches the RNA polymerases include t& (Column 6 line 13).

Therefore it would have been prime facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the oligonucleotides of Genbank Accession Number NC_004718.1 (NCBI GenBank Accession Number April 14, 2003) in view of Peiris et al. to include a T7 polymerase at the end of the oligonucleotides as taught by McDonough et al. The ordinary artisan would be motivated to attach a T7 polymerase to the end of an oligonucleotide because McDonough et al. teaches using polymerase on the end of one oligonucleotide in an assay enhances the efficiency of the specific amplification reaction (Column 7 lines 10-15). McDonough et al. teaches the presence of T7 on the end of an oligonucleotide reduces the efficiency of formation of byproducts such as primer-dimers and therefore enhances amplification efficiency (Column 10 lines 50-53). The ordinary artisan would be motivated to include a RNA polymerase and an RNA promoter region on one of the oligonucleotides in order to increase the detection assay efficiency by reducing the ability of the oligonucleotides to form primer-dimers.


Conclusion

9. No claims are allowed.
10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Katherine Salmon whose telephone number is (571) 272-3316. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8AM-430PM.

Art Unit: 1634

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ram Shukla can be reached on (571) 272-0735. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.


Katherine Salmon
Examiner
Art Unit 1634


BJ FORMAN, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER